

## Exclusion, SEN and disability

## Support Sheet 12

*Permanent exclusion when there is a Statement which is not quantified  
(i.e. a 'bad' Statement)***Exclusion 'rules'**

1. The Head must write immediately stating that the exclusion is permanent and the reasons for it.
2. The Head must inform the Governors.
3. Parents have the right to make 'written representations' which **must** be considered by governors.
4. The Governors have to meet between 6 and 15 days following the exclusion (unless an external exam requires them to meet sooner) & parents may attend.
5. The Head must inform the LEA.
6. The school must set/mark work until the fifteenth day. After that, the LEA should provide 21 to 25 hours a week, depending on the child's age (see Support Sheet 13).

**SEN questions**

If you believe that your child has been excluded as a result of their special educational needs not being met, you may need to consider:

1. *Requesting an urgent review of the Statement.*
2. *Complaining that LEA is in breach of s324 of the Education Act 1996 if none of the provision is being 'arranged'.*
3. *Requesting amendment to the statement to quantify provision.*
5. *Requesting fresh statutory assessment.*
6. *Requesting change of school named on statement.*
7. *Requesting home tuition.*
8. *Requesting urgent meeting with LEA Officer, etc.*

**Disability discrimination questions**

If you believe that the exclusion was unfair, you should consider these issues:

1. Is my child disabled (as defined by the Disability Discrimination Act)?
2. Was the exclusion for a reason related to my child's disability?
3. Was the exclusion justified e.g. were there other actions which you think the school could have taken, instead of excluding your child?
4. Can you think of any reasonable steps which the school could have taken to prevent the situation which led to the exclusion, e.g. providing extra support, arranging an early Review of the Statement, asking the LEA to provide further training for the staff, asking the LEA to amend the Statement to quantify the provision?

**See over for advice on writing your 'written representation' to the Governors.  
If you need further advice, call IPSEA on Freephone 0800 018 4016.**

## Advice on writing 'written representations' for the Governors ...

### **If you can, give credit where it is due, even if you are angry:**

- For example, find something positive to say early on in your written statement, e.g.:  
*"We have been really impressed with the quality of help Matthew has received from his class teacher and with her level of commitment to him ..."*
- If you accept that your child's behaviour was a real problem, then say so, e.g.:  
*"We have made it very clear to Matthew that we are not at all happy with his behaviour. We are trying hard to back the school."*
- Acknowledge that teachers in schools have a difficult job, e.g.:  
*"We understand the pressures that there are on class teachers in schools nowadays ..."*
- If you believe that the school has problems due to lack of resources, then say so, e.g.:  
*"Of course we are aware that there are real problems with resources in schools ..."*

### **But also make clear why you believe the exclusion was unfair:**

- For example, if the school is aware of Matthew's difficulties, point this out, e.g.  
*"Matthew's Statement specifies that it is important for all staff to be aware of Matthew's special needs ..."*
- If you can, give a positive example of how the exclusion might have been avoided, e.g.:  
*"It would have helped if he had been allowed time to calm down. He reacts badly to orders when he is agitated and he detests physical contact. This is clearly described in the Educational Psychologist's Advice, which the school has a copy of. Ordering him immediately to say 'sorry' and shake hands with the pupil who had been taunting him was not the best way to handle him."*
- Refer to the Government's guidance to head teachers (in *Improving Behaviour and Attendance*):  
*"The Secretary of State's guidance to schools says that exclusion should normally only happen: 'if allowing the pupil to remain in school would seriously harm the education or welfare of the pupil or others in the school.' Matthew did not pose a threat to anyone or to himself. In addition, the guidance says: 'Other than in the most exceptional circumstances, schools should avoid permanently excluding pupils with statements.' It seems to us that Matthew was excluded without this guidance being considered."*
- Refer to the Disability Discrimination Act:  
*"It seems to us that Matthew's exclusion was not justified and that there were 'reasonable steps' which could have been taken to avoid it. For these reasons, and because Matthew is disabled, the exclusion could have been 'disability discrimination' under the Disability Discrimination Act 1995. However, rather than going down the legal route, we would much prefer to try to work with the school in order to obtain the right amount of provision to support the school in meeting Matthew's needs. For example, Matthew's provision is not clearly quantified on his Statement and we would welcome the governors' support with our request to the LEA to amend the Statement."*